B0B.DEV · PROJECT ANGLERFISH

The Heart of the Matter

The report, for the casual reader



Don't trust b0b.dev. Examine the evidence.

EDITION 1
OCTOBER 2026

BEFORE YOU BEGIN

THE REPORT OPENS WITH THREE VERSES

“For they loved the praise of men more than the praise of God.”

John 12:43

“It is finished” τετέλεσται

John 19:30

“Holy, holy, holy, LORD God Almighty, which was, and is, and is to come.”

Revelation 4:8

This is a short guide to a long document: the open-source report at b0b.dev/report, 26 numbered sections and a researcher’s guide. The report calls itself “an open-source intelligence report on structures of control that operate on the scale of centuries rather than election cycles”. It runs from the founding of central banks to surveillance cities, underground bunkers, forced labour, today’s wars and the declassified record. Its live, person-scale instance is the Epstein–Maxwell record, and this guide begins there, as the report does; then it walks every section in order. It keeps to what the report says and how sure the report is of it. Where the two ever differ, the report is the record.

I. The heart of it	what happened, and what it asks
II. The mind of it	how it knows, and how sure it is
III. The whole report	every section, in order
IV. What you can do	one line, one person
This edition	October 2026

Written down. Filed. And nothing changed.

In 1976 a young man applied to the Wall Street firm Bear Stearns, and the firm had his background checked. On his application he claimed a lectureship at Berkeley. The investigators found no record of it. The firm read the finding, kept him, and in 1981 made him a limited partner.

His name was Jeffrey Epstein. That first entry sets a pattern the report finds again: the discovery was “commissioned, written down, filed, and did not change the decision”. It also records the institutions that checked and did act; in its words, “the two that acted were a consumer genomics company and a university ethics committee”.

On 29 August 1996 a woman reported Epstein and Ghislaine Maxwell to the New York police. She was referred to the FBI, which did not act.

In 2007 federal prosecutors in Florida agreed not to prosecute him, in a deal that also immunised “four named assistants and unnamed potential co-conspirators the government had never identified”. The Justice Department’s own review later called the decision “poor judgment”. He pleaded guilty to state charges and served thirteen months in a county jail, on work release.

For the next decade he was a registered sex offender: a fact of public record, every single day. And “heads of state, Nobel laureates, serving cabinet officers, university presidents and the largest banks in the country kept the relationship open rather than closing it”. JPMorgan banked him from 1998 to 2013, roughly five years past the conviction.

In July 2019 he was charged with sex trafficking of minors. On the morning of 10 August he was found hanged in federal custody in

New York, and the charge was never tested. The city's medical examiner ruled it suicide. The pathologist retained for the family, who observed the autopsy, called the fracture pattern "extremely unusual in suicidal hangings"; the examining medical examiner disagreed. The Justice Department's inspector general documented what failed around him: a written directive that he must have a cellmate, broken when the cellmate was transferred and never replaced; no rounds after about 10:40 p.m.; more than seventy-five falsified round entries. Of those failures the report says: "Each has an innocent account. The simultaneity does not." It keeps the suicide ruling as a documented fact, and states its own position as far as the record allows: "Homicide is not merely held open here; it is the reading the surviving evidence leans toward, and the reason it cannot be concluded is a missing record rather than a contrary one."

In December 2021 Ghislaine Maxwell was convicted of sex trafficking a minor; in June 2022 she was sentenced to twenty years. Her appeals are exhausted. In February 2026, deposed by Congress from prison, she "invoked the Fifth Amendment more than a dozen times and answered nothing". The same day her lawyer said she "is prepared to speak fully and honestly if granted clemency by President Trump".

And the record: roughly 200,000 pages were withheld or redacted from the Justice Department's January 2026 release, and four of the seven reports by the court-appointed special master on his estate are sealed. New Mexico's suit says federal prosecutors asked it to stand down in July 2019, that it handed over its entire file, and that its ten later requests were ignored or denied. Those are "allegations in a filed complaint", untested, and the Justice Department's privacy-law defence stands on the record beside them.

The report's first epigraph comes from a passage about people in high places who believed, and would not say so. In full:

> *“Nevertheless among the chief rulers also many believed on him; but because of the Pharisees they did not confess him, lest they should be put out of the synagogue: For they loved the praise of men more than the praise of God.”*
>
> John 12:42–43

The report prints the second verse at its head, without comment.

BEYOND ONE CASE

Epstein is the report’s person-scale case. Across its other sections it finds the same kind of record at the scale of agencies, banks and states: official paper that shows what was known, and what was done about it.

- In 1998 the CIA’s Inspector General documented that the Agency had known of drug-trafficking allegations against people tied to the Contras it backed, and had failed to act on them; the same review reported no evidence of a CIA conspiracy to import drugs. (Section XVIII)
- Between fifty and sixty CIA officers had read reporting, from January and March 2000, that two known al-Qaeda operatives held US visas, and neither man was watchlisted then, the Agency’s Inspector General later found. The 9/11 Commission’s own chairs wrote that it had been “set up to fail”. (Section XXIV)
- The US government’s auditor found in 2022 that, despite reports of extensive civilian harm in Yemen from Saudi and Emirati attacks, “DOD has not reported and State could not provide evidence that it investigated any incidents of potential unauthorized use”. In the report’s words, the audit “exists and says nobody looked”. (Section XVI)
- In 2012 HSBC paid $1.9 billion over laundering failures that let cartel money move through it, after a Senate investigation found severe deficiencies in its anti-money-laundering controls. “No individual was criminally charged.” (Section XVIII)

- In 2007 a cleared member of the House Homeland Security Committee told the House that the committee had been denied the detailed plan for continuity of government. The directive that governs it today has never been published. (Section X)
- And the Justice Department that declined federal charges against Epstein in 2007 brought thirteen felony counts against Aaron Swartz, then 25, for downloading journal articles. “Discretion is the instrument. That is documented; a mechanism behind it is not, and none is asserted.” (Section XXIV)

These are separate records, from different decades and institutions, and the report keeps them apart: each stands where its evidence sits, at its own grade, and none is offered as a link in a chain. Part III walks every section in turn.

THE PEOPLE WHO WERE HARMED

The trafficking is not a theory. It was proved in court. This summary names none of the people who were harmed. They are the reason the record matters.

WHY THE RECORD IS DARK, AND WHY IT IS LIT

The report’s designation, Project Anglerfish, is the author’s own: “It is not a government program name”. The anglerfish lives where no sunlight reaches; in water that deep there is nothing to see by, so the fish carries its own light. In the report’s words: “The record it works from is deliberately dark”. “You cannot gather anyone in that dark by pointing at it. You have to put a light into it. The document is the lit organ, and what it is fishing for is good people who will act on what it shows.”

II · THE MIND OF IT

Every claim carries its grade.

A record this serious can be ruined by one overstatement, so the report grades everything it says:

DOCUMENTED	On the record: a court ruling, an official report, a filing, a released document.
ATTRIBUTED	A claim, with the person or outlet that makes it named.
LABELED	A pattern or a hypothesis, marked as one and not asserted as fact.
CONTESTED	The record argues with itself, and both sides are printed.
UNSUPPORTED	No support found. The claim is marked as such, or removed and entered in the corrections ledger.

The test it sets itself: “A reader who strips out everything but the documented layer should still be left with a usable document”. Where one of its sentences states a motive or a design as if settled, it asks to be read as the report’s interpretation, “held at hypothesis tier”.

WHAT IT CONCLUDES, AND HOW SURE IT IS

On one question the report commits. Its conclusion is that Epstein and Maxwell “functioned as deniable assets - an intelligence-useful role under civilian cover, structured so a sponsor - one service or several - could disavow it”. The trafficking itself is not the hypothesis; it was proved in court. The finding is about what the operation also was: “a long-lead apparatus for cultivating, compromising and managing powerful people”.

That is a judgment, not a document, and the report says so. For Epstein it rests on documents: credentials a firm checked, found

false and kept anyway; a fortune whose two documented clients, Leslie Wexner and Leon Black, paid on a scale nobody has explained; a surveillance company financed through a vehicle whose ownership Epstein concealed; immunity for potential co-conspirators the government had never identified; and a decade of elite access after a sex-offence conviction. A 2021 review by the law firm Dechert, commissioned by Apollo, found Black's fees (about $158 million by its count; Senator Wyden's later tally says about $170 million) were for legitimate services; what the report says nobody has explained is their scale. The report also says the two are "not evidenced equally": Maxwell's placement rests on her co-principalship, a documented cover in The TerraMar Project, and on being the only person who spans both generations of the network, with no equivalent of his documentary entries. And the conclusion does not travel to anyone else: "no third party acquires a tier by having been in the room".

If the intelligence services hold files that could settle it, they are withheld from everyone. The report counts that silence as nothing: "neither as an acquittal nor as a confirmation".

WHAT IT SCORES AGAINST ITSELF

The conclusion is set beside the other explanations for the same record:

MONEY AND LAWYERS. A rich defendant gets a lenient deal; a bank keeps a lucrative client. The Justice Department's own finding of "poor judgment" sits on this side.

MANY PROTECTIONS. Money, connections and institutions protecting themselves, with an intelligence service perhaps using him as a source. The report calls this "the rival the conclusion has the most trouble excluding": it fits everything the conclusion fits, asks less of the evidence, and almost nothing in the public record separates the two.

LOOKING HARD. A large document built to find a pattern will find one. The answer is to print what weighs against it.

What would lower the conclusion: the 2007 immunity traced to ordinary negotiation in the prosecutors' own files from the time; an explanation of Black's payments that accounts for their scale; or the service files released, complete, showing no relationship. What would raise it: "It rises only on a document - a tasking, a payment, an instruction - and never on accumulation."

THE SPONSOR QUESTION

The report names a sponsor, one tier below its conclusion: "The report's labeled hypothesis for a sponsor is Mossad. It is a hypothesis and not a finding". Its basis is a perimeter of documented Israeli-linked companies and contacts around Epstein, and the report says what that amounts to: "It is an adjacency case, not a tasking case." It prints four of its own findings against it. The Israeli-linked pieces look like "parallel elements sharing Epstein as their hub" rather than one network. The often-cited Unit 8200 detail carries almost no signal. The ranking that puts Mossad first is "enough to name a leading candidate, nowhere near enough to exclude the others". And the services it weighs need not be rivals at all. Its conclusion does not depend on the sponsor hypothesis being right.

The author's fuller form, with Mossad directing and the CIA and British services witting through their standing liaison relationships, is recorded as his hypothesis: "unproven, and adopted by this report nowhere". For the liaison part, the report holds no document from any of the three services.

And there is a line it will not cross. It does not claim either of them was run, with a handler and taskings: "functioning as and being run by are different sentences, and only the first is carried here".

THE RULES IT USES BY NAME

- **The guaranteed null.** A silence that was always going to happen weighs nothing, in either direction. A harmless file and a damning one are kept secret the same way, so secrecy alone proves nothing about what is hidden.
- **The anti-map.** Every link is drawn at its own weight, and things are not connected because they share a time or a place: “a shared timeline is not a chain”.
- **The symmetry rule.** A source that helps the report’s reading is doubted as hard as one that hurts it, because “a standard of proof that moves with the conclusion is not a standard”.
- **The naming error.** A label invented by one party and later read as evidence for the thing it names; or different things merged under one name.
- **Testimony.** An account of what someone experienced, the author’s included, is recorded as testimony: evidence of the experience, not of its cause, and repetition does not promote it.

WHAT IT REFUSES TO SAY

- That anyone living and uncharged is a criminal or an intelligence asset. It “separates documented contact from operational tie throughout”. Maxwell, alive and convicted, is the one living person its conclusion places.
- That it gets everything right. Its corrections are listed in public, in 28 dated entries so far, beside the claims it tested and threw out: that Epstein signed for the move of a CIA airline to Ohio, for one. The CIA had sold that airline in 1973.

Part III applies these rules section by section, as the report does.

III · THE WHOLE REPORT

Every section, in order.

The report sorts its sections into groups of its own, and this walk follows them in order, each section at the grade its evidence carries. The titles are the report's, shortened. Where one states a design as if it were settled, read it as Part II says the report asks: as interpretation, held at hypothesis tier.

I–IV · GROUND

I · Purpose & Framework

The rules come before any evidence. Section I asks whether structures of control could be older than any single government, corporation or family line, and treats a repeated pattern as a question to test, not as proof. It defines its map against the viral everything-is-connected chart: every line carries its grade, and a chain is refused where the record does not carry it, because "An edge is a fact; a chain is a claim". It ends with the author's account of his own practice and experience: "Five accounts are recorded at his direction, and all five are testimony rather than finding."

II · The Epstein–Maxwell Network

The longest section, in 27 parts, and the source of most of Part I of this guide. Beyond that record it follows the money: a patron's 1991 power of attorney, a financier's payments, and three banks that settled with survivors without admitting liability. It documents how the files are being withheld, and will not read the withholding as proof of what they hold: "the withholding proves the conflict of interest and the withholding - it does not prove the contents of what is withheld". It downgrades quotations it could not match to the government's own release, and keeps the author's wider theories apart, labeled his and adopted nowhere.

III · The Cabinets

The governments Epstein and Robert Maxwell reached, cabinet by cabinet: Trump's two, every other US administration from Carter to Biden, then foreign governments. It keeps contact apart from official acts, and treats the Justice Department's own list of names as an instrument with a known gap. It does not read that list as a roster. Most documented contact falls before or after office. The consequences fell abroad: an arrest in Britain, a former prime minister made a suspect in Norway, an investigation in France. The father's record with governments is thinner than his legend: "the title is the only primary evidence that a Prime Minister met him in those years".

IV · Seam Analysis, Network Topology & Linguistic Forensics

Epstein's own mailbox, about 5,000 emails, read for what was kept out of writing. The documented pattern: messages that defer to a call gather around his lawyers, his press handling and a few close contacts rather than the famous names, and they rise around outside events, press stories and court filings among them. A mailbox is a star with one man at its centre, so the section declines to rank the network from it. Its limit, in its own words: "The evidence establishes the machine and its discipline; it does not, and from email alone cannot, establish the mission."

V–VII · WHAT SURVIVED

V · Blowback

"The people age and die; the capabilities and vehicles persist." Epstein's money reached an emergency-call technology firm through a company of his, with his name kept off the investment until anti-money-laundering rules brought it out; the firm was later bought by a publicly traded American police-technology company. The section documents the money and stops: "Who directs that capability now, and toward what end, is not established by these records". It also shows how a viral headline is

handled, sorted into what is documented, what rests on one source, and what is unsupported.

VI · The Maxwell Template

One generation earlier: Ghislaine Maxwell's father, the publisher Robert Maxwell, the subject of documented intelligence suspicion from 1949, though "no government has ever confirmed recruitment". He went overboard from his yacht in November 1991. The inquest returned a heart attack followed by accidental drowning, and "no finding of suicide or murder exists in the official record". The section separates documents from legend, holds its sharpest reading of that year as a hypothesis, and names a pattern of three deaths without reading design into it: "a pattern of three is a regularity worth naming - not, at that sample size, a demonstration of design".

VII · The Science Cover

Epstein's money in science, documented to the dollar, mostly from the institutions' own reports: gifts to Harvard, whose 2020 review found he used its program "to rehabilitate his image" after his conviction; to MIT's Media Lab; and to an ideas foundation that drew most of its income from Epstein-linked sources. His talk of seeding the species with his DNA is attributed, secondhand, and never shown to have been acted on: "The temptation is to inflate the talk into a facility; the record does not carry it, and this report does not." What the files add is retail, genetic-test kits bought under an alias: "Sample acquisition is documented. Data acquisition is not."

VIII–X · THE ARCHITECTURE

VIII · The Control Architecture

What lets power outlast the people who hold it. The section documents institutions that have outlasted their founders or their founding purpose, among them the Bank for International Settlements, the East India Company, the arms maker Lockheed, older than the US intelligence services, and the Federal Reserve,

planned at a private meeting on Jekyll Island in 1910 that its participants denied for more than twenty years; and the councils where finance, defence and government officials overlap: “Their membership lists are public. Their cross-sector overlap is the finding.” A family or bloodline at the top is rejected as unsupported, and a single directing command is held as a labeled reading, not a finding.

IX · The 51% Threshold

A rule from game theory and Bitcoin: whoever holds the controlling share holds the network. The section finds that shape in the Egyptian defence ministry’s 51 per cent of the company that owns the new capital, and in crypto. The Epstein files place his network near Bitcoin’s early developers and the New York regulator who was drafting the first US state crypto licence, but “What is not established is outcome - that the positioning steered the protocol or the rule.” A sitting president’s family holding a memecoin while he sets crypto policy is documented; “The crime is the label, and it stays unproven.” A theory linking two deaths is marked unsupported.

X · Underground Infrastructure

Hardened underground sites: America’s continuity bunkers, Russian command and closed-city sites (largely as officials and the press describe them), bunkers elsewhere, and the Negev reactor. It opens by rejecting two circulating claims, a second civilization beneath the surface and a continuity plan that treats the surface as expendable: “Neither has a source”. Most of the continuity-of-government rulebook is published; what is withheld is narrower. Of the pre-drafted emergency orders that no committee reviews: “That is a documented gap in oversight. It is not a documented plot, and this report declines to convert one into the other.”

XI · Technology as Control

Surveillance and military technology: Egypt's new capital and its command centre, the Five Eyes signals alliance, AI targeting systems, America's licence-plate camera grid. The documented core is contracts, programmes and audits. The capital's network of more than 6,000 cameras was contracted to the American firm Honeywell, not to Huawei, and who financed the Octagon, the defence ministry's command complex, is not in the public record. It reads no use into an acknowledged capability and no operator into a confirmed infection: "An acknowledged capability is not evidence of a particular use, and a confirmed infection is not a confirmed operator."

XII · The "New" Pattern

Does the word New in a place name mark a power structure carried onto new ground? The section checks four places: New Amsterdam, a trading company's colony before it was New York; Egypt's New Administrative Capital; New Albany, Ohio, where Epstein once owned a house; and New Canaan, Connecticut. Then it narrows its own claim: the name marks a naming convention, not an operation. "It is where to start looking, not what to conclude."

XIII · Billionaire Enclaves

Where the very rich live behind a single guarded bridge or a ferry: Indian Creek and Fisher Island in Florida, and a long list of private islands. Its last five parts ask whether this is a network, and grade it layer by layer. That a few hands control the cloud, social media, venture capital and satellite communications is documented: "Structural risk, documented; conspiracy, not shown by the concentration alone." Coordination through sovereign money and clubs is documented but narrow. Living near one another is not coordination, and owning an enclave is not membership in the Epstein network. Its verdict is that a network does survive, smaller and more specific than the circulating version.

XIV · Cyclical Patterns

Are the structures in this report the first of their kind, or one of many cycles? The section sets out libraries and records destroyed across history, then asks whether ancient stone sites and flood stories shared across cultures point to earlier cycles. It labels that a hypothesis: for the great stone sites “the mainstream reading (staged construction over generations) remains the documented default”, and the leap from flood stories to one global memory is unsupported. Its last part sets eight Olympic host cities beside the histories of their ground.

XV · Animal & Human Slavery Systems

It opens on a claim: “Slavery did not end. It changed form.” Then it walks through debt, wages, forced labour, prison labour, the Gulag, the slave trade and animal farming. Its firmest material is documented: the International Labour Organization’s estimate of 27.6 million people in forced labour, the Thirteenth Amendment’s exception for punishment of crime, and Britain’s 1833 payment of £20 million to slaveholders, not to the enslaved. Each piece stands on its own record; the thread that joins them is the section’s reading.

XVI · Active Global Conflicts

The current section: the wars of 2024 to 2026, kept in dated updates. Since October its updates state their method: each item read in a named outlet or a primary document, each side’s account printed, every count with whose count it is, and what nobody has established listed too. Of Sudan’s strikes it says: “Every toll above is one party’s or one monitor’s; every blame is a party’s.” On Gaza it reads the courts first, “because they hold the only findings”.

XVII · FOIA Patterns

How long documented programmes stayed hidden, and how they came out. For the American programmes it tabulates, “Documented concealment periods span roughly 6 to 64 years;

multi-decade concealment is the norm." Its newest part reads the access laws of fourteen other states in their own texts, then more than twenty programmes those states ran in secret, each with where its record sits now. In several of its cases, papers that would have shown abuse were destroyed before any law reached them, yet "Not every concealment is designed". Famous disclosure claims are graded by one test, a paper trail: "A real insider leaves a trail of documents; Q left a trail of authorship."

XVIII · Organized Crime as Infrastructure

Documented episodes where crime, intelligence and banking met: a 1989 Senate subcommittee finding that the Contras' supply network was used by drug traffickers; an Italian parliamentary commission's finding that the P2 lodge was "a secret criminal organization"; NATO's secret stay-behind network, confirmed by Italy's prime minister in 1990; the 1982 collapse of Banco Ambrosiano; major banks penalised over laundering. The section's own audit draws the line: "The pattern is real; it is a pattern of documented instances, held at that tier, not one master chain."

XIX · Art, Power & the Unregulated Market

Art is here because, in a Senate subcommittee's own phrase, the art industry is "the largest legal, unregulated market in the United States". The section tests the expected story and reports the opposite: "Epstein was not laundering value through art; his patrons were the collectors." It documents the CIA's covert Cold War funding of cultural magazines and exhibitions, and holds the strong claim, that it dictated an art movement, as one historian's interpretation. It refuses a viral appraisal figure that comes from no credible source, and shows a widely shared occult-ritual chain failing link by link.

XX–XXI · APPARATUS

XX · Cross-Reference Index

An index, and the report says what kind: "Every entry below is a pointer, and only a pointer." Grouped by topic, from the revolving

door and the long-lived institutions to surveillance, cartels, underground sites, labour, disclosure and money, it sends the reader to the sections where the evidence sits and restates none of it. The report suggests it as the second thing to read, after Section I.

XXI · Calibration Index

A count of the documented posts, boards, memberships and affiliations held by people named across the report, to show where institutional connectivity concentrates: policy councils, Wall Street and the central bank, defence contractors, intelligence agencies, and the law and consulting firms between them. The score "measures embedment, not authority", and "a documented affiliation is not an operational tie". Its own verdict: "The calibration is not a conspiracy. It is an org chart." A later note on relocating a person is labeled speculative, and its use in either principal's case is unproven.

XXII–XXIII · THE MAP'S OWN DOCUMENTATION

XXII · The Compute Substrate

The machines the other layers run on: the NSA's data center in Utah, the Ashburn corridor in Virginia, AI campuses drawing gigawatts each, and a newer frontier of data centers under the sea and on barges. Its core facilities are real and separately sourced, and the report calls this section and the next "gazetteers for the map rather than argument". Its audit also draws limits: an oft-cited traffic statistic is "trade-press folklore, not a measured statistic", and cross-links to secret societies are "narrative framings, not documented findings".

XXIII · Connective Tissue

A deliberately conservative section. It draws lines between mapped sites "only where a relationship is documented and sourced": central banks as members of the Bank for International Settlements, the one Dutch firm that supplies the EUV lithography machines advanced chip plants depend on, camera makers tied to

Xinjiang's surveillance platform, a US satellite agency buying commercial imagery. Proximity and shared industry are excluded by rule, and where an owner had no marker of its own, "the link was left undrawn rather than invented".

XXIV · TEST CASES

XXIV · Test Cases

Live claims graded against the record: a prosecution, a wanted list, a ransom demanded in retractions, the 9/11 record, untimely deaths, a chain of disappearances. Each is run through the rules of Section I: "The claim is the specimen; the grade is the finding. Some survive at documented tier, some are held open at labeled tier, some are killed." What the parts share is "one recurring instrument: institutional discretion". The 9/11 probe sets a heavy institutional record beside the popular specimens it kills, and finds: "What the documented record does not contain, at any tier above hypothesis, is a directing hand."

XXV · THE OTHER DIRECTION

XXV · Spiritual Reclamation

The report's turn from analysis to practice, in its own first person: "This is not an exit for us. This is an exit from a system that is inhuman." A devotional reading opens it, labeled by the page as outside its evidentiary standard. Then come practices (growing food, keeping seed, silence, community at human scale), a resource guide it calls starting points, not endorsements, and a plain subsection for servicemembers on the lawful channels and the crisis line, which also says what is a crime regardless of motive: "Desertion, absence without leave, and refusal of a lawful order are offences that will be prosecuted, and sincerity is not a defence to any of them."

XXVI · Sending the Signal

An appeal and a contact route. The appeal is addressed “to any beings observing this frequency”, and the page says what its signal is and is not: “The signal is not electromagnetic. It is not encoded. It is the coherence of intention”. Nine songs are written up as signals, documented facts first and the author’s reading second, because “a song is not evidence and is not entered as any”. The section discloses the AI assistance behind the report, and its close sets the author’s statement, in his own voice and outside its evidentiary standard, before the report’s tiered commentary on it.

Guide · For Researchers

A short methods box near the end of the report, before its close, for anyone who wants to check the work: where to look, five steps for checking a name from the report against the public record, and the map’s data, free to download. When the same names recur, it says, “ask what would distinguish it from coincidence before calling it one”. And it asks for corrections: “The report is a living document. Precision matters more than volume.”

IV · WHAT YOU CAN DO

Check one line. Tell one person.

- **Read one section.** Start with Section I, then the Cross-Reference Index in Section XX: “the index is where the method either holds up or does not”.
- **Check one claim.** Read the tier under it before the claim itself, then its sources. Open the source. If it says less than the report does, that is a correction.
- **Send it.** The report says “corrections are more use to it than agreement”. The address is at the head of Section XXVI. It is “an ordinary email address, not a secure drop”: “Do not send anything you are not lawfully free to send.”
- **Tell one person,** and let them check it for themselves.

IF YOU SERVE

In a uniform, an agency, a police force or the civil service, the report’s address to you asks one question, the one underneath “is this order lawful”: “what is this capability for, who benefits when it is used, and would I still say yes if the whole of it were published?”

“This is not a call to disobey lawful orders.” “It is not a call to sabotage, to desert, to obstruct, or to leak.” Use the lawful channels, which exist on purpose: an Inspector General or a Member of Congress. In the US, the Military Whistleblower Protection Act (10 U.S.C. § 1034) protects a servicemember who uses them, and PPD-19 extends protection to the intelligence community while preserving classification; most other countries have an inspectorate, ombudsman or parliamentary route. Do not send classified or privileged material to the report. And do not organise a refusal with others: a person who, with intent to usurp or override lawful military authority,

"refuses, in concert with any other person, to obey orders or otherwise do his duty" is guilty of mutiny (UCMJ Article 94).

The report also lists the Veterans and Military Crisis Line: in the US, 988, then press 1.

FEAR NOT

The report ends on three completed things, and claims none of them as its own finding. The first is the word on the cross, τετέλεσται, "It is finished": in the report's reading, not "it ended" but "it has been completed and remains so". The second is the count, from Luke:

> *"Are not five sparrows sold for two farthings, and not one of them is forgotten before God? But even the very hairs of your head are all numbered. Fear not therefore: ye are of more value than many sparrows."*
>
> Luke 12:6–7

A report about being watched reads it this way: "you are known, therefore you are safe - not you are known, therefore be careful". The third is Isaiah's: "No weapon that is formed against thee shall prosper". In the Hebrew the forming is conceded; what is denied is that it will succeed. It is "the only one that is a promise rather than a fact", and the report is careful to say which is which.

And then the report does what it has done with every document in it: "state what is there, mark the tier, and stop".

THIS EDITION · OCTOBER 2026

The report, as of this edition

26	293	177
NUMBERED SECTIONS	SUBSECTIONS	SOURCING BLOCKS
1,167	456	28
SITES ON THE MAP	LINES BETWEEN THEM	CORRECTION ENTRIES

Every line on the map carries its own grade, from analogy to causation. Of its 456 lines, 230 are analogy or correlation: a resemblance, or a shared time or place, with nothing documenting that one acted on the other. Another 189 record a documented material relationship or stronger.

NEW THIS MONTH

- Section XV's dated current-events updates run through 23 October; since October each item is read at a named outlet or in a primary document, and every figure says whose count it is.
- The test cases moved up to Section XXIII, so the report now closes on the counter-architecture, the signal and three completed things.
- The map draws its connection lines by their grade: dotted for analogy or correlation, dashed for contact, solid for a documented material relationship or stronger.
- Section I gained two more accounts of the author's testimony, in his words and graded as testimony.
- Section XVI's comparison with other countries was rebuilt: fourteen access laws, read in their own texts, then more than twenty programmes run in secret, each with where its record sits now.

B0B.DEV

www.b0b.dev

b0b.dev/report	the report
b0b.dev/map	the map
b0b.dev/start	the briefing
b0b.dev/retractions	corrections
b0b.dev/summary	the latest edition of this summary

Edition 1, October 2026. Built from the report as of 29 October 2026; the figures come from the report's own build record. Next edition: November 2026. Made by b0b.dev, with the AI assistance disclosed in the report. Set in IBM Plex Serif and Plex Mono (SIL Open Font License). Share it freely.